REMARKS:

The Applicants further submit that the Restriction Requirement alleges that Claims

1, 10, 19, and 28 are only generic claims for Group I. (9 June 2006 Office Action, Page

3). The Applicants disagree. The Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 10, 19,

and 28 are also generic for Group II based on the Examiner's grouping of the patentably

distinct species of the claimed invention, i.e. a system, method, and program for

generating a RFQ. The Applicants respectfully request correction of the generic claims for

Group II to include Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 29-31.

The Applicants hereby elect Group II and Claims 29-31 (which are directed to a

single species), which are further directed to a system, method, and program for

generating a RFQ having a data and metrics model, a state transition model, and a user

interface workflow with traverse, in accordance with the applicable Rules of Practice and

to advance the prosecution of the subject Application. As mentioned above, based on the

Examiners grouping of the patentably distinct species of the claimed invention, Group II

should include generic Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 29-31.

In addition, the Applicants respectfully submit that the Restriction Requirement is

improper. Accordingly, in traverse, Applicants request withdrawal of the Restriction

Requirement for at least the reasons set forth above, or in the alternative that Group II

should include generic Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 29-31. Because the Applicants have

elected an alleged Group and set forth the claims directed to that Group, as set forth by

the Examiner, this response is complete. The Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at (817) 447-9955 with any questions, comments, or suggestions relating to

the subject Application.

Response to Requirement for Restriction Attorney Docket No. 020431.0774 Serial No. 09/940,764 Page 3

CONCLUSION:

In view of the foregoing election with traverse and remarks, this application is considered to be in condition for allowance, and early reconsideration and a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

No fees are deemed to be necessary; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayments, to **Deposit Account No. 500777.**

Please link this application to Customer No. 53184 so that its status may be checked via the PAIR System.

Respectfully submitted,

6/28/06

Date

James E. Walton, Registration No. 47,245

Steven J. Laureanti, Registration No. 50,274

Daren C. Davis, Registration No. 38,425

Alan Dawson Lightfoot, Registration No. 57,756

Michael Alford, Registration No. 48,707

Law Offices of James E. Walton, P.L.L.C.

1169 N. Burleson Blvd., Suite 107-328

Burleson, Texas 76028

(817) 447-9955 (voice)

(817) 447-9954 (facsimile)

steven@waltonpllc.com (e-mail)

CUSTOMER NO. 53184

ATTORNEYS AND AGENT FOR APPLICANTS